MIND STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO 5082 10/613,093 Harold Edward Siess **EXAMINER** 09/27/2006 PHASGE, ARUN S Harold E. Siess 8629 Welbeck Way ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Montgomery Village, MD 20886 1753 DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,093	SIESS, HAROLD EDWARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arun S. Phasge	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1 cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the desired to the subjection to the desired to access the subjection to the desired to be a subjection to the desired to be	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the E		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to

determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the

specification.

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to

an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is

improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material

incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any

objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment

must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner

representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material

previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new

matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Art Unit: 1753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soremark, U.S. Patent 5,961,920.

The Soremark patent discloses an apparatus for conditioned air comprising the claimed flux generator and an activator, which can be the UV light source or the type creating an intense electrical field (see claims 1-9 and col. 5, lines 43-56). The reference further discloses the same types of organic compound, such as the claimed terpene including aldehydes and ketones (see col. 3, lines 4-15).

The reference further discloses the claimed method, wherein providing the same type of gaseous organic material, oxidizing said material by ozone and UV and mixing the oxidized material with said fluid to be purified (see col. 5, lines 10-42).

Therefore, since the Soremark patent discloses each and every limitation, the claims are anticipated.

Art Unit: 1753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soremark as applied to claims above, and further in view of Kishkovich et al. (Kishkovich), U.S. Patent 6,096,267.

The Soremark patent does not disclose that the apparatus further comprises a facemask portion or the use of a photodetector and excitation source to determine airborne particles.

Art Unit: 1753

The Soremark patent discloses the use of the apparatus within confined places, such as cabins and toilets (see col. 5, lines 35-42). Accordingly, to use the apparatus in a smaller space, such as a facemask would have been an obvious modification, because the Soremark patent teaches the use of the apparatus in a small space.

The Kishkovich patent is cited to show the use of a photodetector and excitation source to determine the particles in air treatment (see col. 6, lines 6-23). Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Soremark patent with the teachings of the Kishkovich patent, because the Kishkovich patent teaches the use of a photodetector and excitation source to maintain clean air.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun 5. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/613,093 Examiner Arun S. Phasge Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SIESS, HAROLD EDWARD Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,961,920	10-1999	Soremark, Rune	422/24
*	В	US-6,096,267	08-2000	Kishkovich et al.	422/52
-	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
•	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Z					
	0					
	Р					
	O					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

4

